

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/771,856	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TANAKA, TOSHIMASA	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jimmy H. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2629	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Jimmy H. Nguyen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Darius G. Adli (applicant's rep.).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2007
**Time:** 12:35pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 4 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1, 3, 4 and 18 so as to make the claimed invention consistent with the disclosure, especially Fig. 1. The amendment to these claims is provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)